

2014 GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE SURVEY

Bill Brady

1. Land-based casino in Chicago: **Have not supported**
2. Additional casinos (currently 10 licenses): **Have not supported**
3. Additional gambling positions at casinos (currently 1,200): **Have not supported**
4. Electronic gambling devices at racetracks (slots, video poker): **Have not supported**
5. Expansion of the Lottery (Keno, Internet scratch-off tickets, etc.): **Oppose**
6. Expansion of charitable gambling (electronic bingo, remote control bingo, sweepstakes machines, etc.): **Have not supported**
7. Expansion of video gambling: **Oppose**
8. Internet gambling: **Oppose**

Comments: I have never supported the expansion of gambling, for both societal and financial reasons, including the legalization of gambling into neighborhood establishments with the legalization of video poker. Expansion of gambling is certainly not my agenda for economic development. With limited entertainment dollars among our citizens, it is neither a financially reliable source of revenue nor a proper funding mechanism for government. I have voted present on legislation in recent years due to a potential conflict of interest because of property I own with others in Danville, one of the sites for a new casino in the recent gaming bills. My present vote aside, I do believe there needs to be a long-term solution to the racing industry because of the great agricultural base it supports. Regarding a Chicago casino, I believe it must be operated under the scrutiny of the Illinois Gaming Board, not owned and operated by the City of Chicago as some have suggested.